

Docket No.: 67272-8072.US01
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Ciancaglini et al.

Application No.: 09/994,475

Confirmation No.: 7235

Filed: November 26, 2001

Art Unit: 2613

For: MULTIPLE ACCESS PROTOCOL SYSTEM
AND RELATED TECHNIQUES FOR MULTI-
GIGABIT OPTICAL WAVELENGTH
DIVISION MULTIPLEXED LOCAL AREA
NETWORKS

Examiner: D. S. Kim

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NOTICE REQUIRING EXCESS CLAIMS FEES

Sir:

In response to the notice dated January 27, 2009, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-2207, the total of \$240 for excess claims fees and any additional charges. A copy of the notice has been submitted herewith.

Dated: February 4, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Jordan M. Becker

Registration No.: 39,602

CUSTOMER NO. 77042

PERKINS COIE LLP

P.O. Box 1208

Seattle, Washington 98111-1208

(650) 838-4300

(650) 838-4350 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

67272-8072.US1
JB/AE

RECEIVED
PATENT DOCKETING

JAN 29 2009


UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

77042 e 01/27/2009

Perkins Coie LLP
P.O. Box 1208
Seattle, WA 98111-1208

PERKINS COIE LLP

Paper No.

Application No.: 09/994,475 	Date Mailed: 01/27/2009
First Named Inventor: Ciancaglini, Gene,	Examiner: KIM, DAVID S
Attorney Docket No.: 67272-8072.US01	Art Unit: 2613
Confirmation No.: 7235	Filing Date: 11/26/2001

Please find attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DOCKETED TO CPI

- ☒ Deadline
- ☐ Follow up
- ☐ Previously
- ☐ Abandoned
- ☐ Transferred
- ☐ Dedocketed

227-09

Commissioner for Patents

**NOTICE REQUIRING EXCESS CLAIMS
FEES**

Application No.

09/994,475

Applicant(s)

CIANCAGLINI ET AL.

Art Unit

2600

The excess claim(s) filed on 09 January, 2009 is not accompanied by the appropriate payment of excess claims fees set forth in 37 CFR 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f). Excess claims fees are required for each claim in independent form in excess of three (§ 1.16(h)), each claim (whether dependent or independent) in excess of twenty (note that § 1.75(c) indicates how multiple dependent claims are considered for fee calculation purposes) (§ 1.16(i)), and each application that contains a multiple dependent claim (§ 1.16(j)).

Since the application is not under a final rejection, applicant is given a time period of **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, to submit either: (1) the fee payment of \$ 240, or (2) an amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 that cancels the excess claim(s), in order to avoid ABANDONMENT. Extensions of this time period may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136, unless the excess claim(s) was presented in a preliminary amendment.

- ☐ 1. The funds in Deposit Account No. _____ are insufficient to cover the entire fee due. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.
- ☐ 2. The Credit Card payment to cover the entire fee due to Account _____ (Card type + last 4 digits ONLY) was refused. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.
- ☒ 3. The amendment that includes the excess claim(s) has not been entered, since applicant has failed to remit (or authorize charge to a Deposit Account or Credit Card) the fee as indicated on the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record (PTO/SB/06). Remittance or authorization is due within the time period set forth in this notice.
- ☐ 4. The fee submitted in this application is insufficient. A balance of \$ _____ is due for presentation of excess claims (37 CFR 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f)).
- ☐ 5. Other.

Explanation (Provide specific details of the required correction in order to assist the applicant. Indicate whether a service charge has been added to the fee due):

THE AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE, GENERALLY ON OCTOBER 1 OF EACH YEAR (37 CFR 1.16, 1.21 & 1.492). THE AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS DETERMINED AS OF THE DATE A COMPLETE REPLY WITH THE APPROPRIATE FEE(S) IS RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE (37 CFR 1.8 & 1.10). BECAUSE THE AMOUNT DUE IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT APPLICANT CHECK THE CURRENT FEE SCHEDULE WHICH IS AVAILABLE ON THE USPTO'S WEBSITE AT: <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/qs/ope/fees.htm>

Service Charges: There is a \$50 service charge for processing each payment refused (including a check returned "unpaid") or charged back by a financial institution (37 CFR 1.21(m)). There is a \$25.00 service charge for each month when the balance of a deposit account is below \$1000 at the end of the month (37 CFR 1.21(b)(2)).

Technical Support Staff (TSS): /MARCIA J. GORDON/ Phone Number: (571)272-3003

Note to TSS: Please do NOT use this notice if the application is under a final rejection.